IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 262 of 2006 (M/B)

1. Smt. Madhu Khurana
   w/o Late Shri M.L. Khurana,
   r/o G-22, Lajpat Nagar-III,
   New Delhi.
2. Vinay Khurana
   s/o Late Shri M.L. Khurana,
   r/o 118, Gujrada Mansingh, Police Station Rajpur,
   District Dehradun. ……. Petitioners.

Versus

1. The State of Uttaranchal, through
   Secretary(Home), Civil Secretariat,
   Uttaranchal, Dehradun.
2. Station House Officer,
   Police station Kotwali, Haridwar,
   District Haridwar.
3. Anil Kumar Saxena,
   Office Superintendent
   Haridwar Development Authority, Haridwar.
   District Haridwar. ……. Respondents.

……..

Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon'ble Prafulla C. Pant, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C.J. (Oral)

Petitioner's counsel absent.

Sri D.K. Sharma, Government Advocate for respondents 1 and 2.

None for respondent no.3.

2. Sri Sharma, the learned Government Advocate submits that the writ petition filed by the petitioners seeking quashing of the first information report and the case registered against them has now become infructuous as the police after completion of the investigation has filed charge sheet against the petitioners before the concerning Magistrate.

3. In this view of the matter, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

(Prafulla C. Pant, J.) 05.06.2006 **(Rajeev Gupta, C.J.)** 05.06.2006

A